```
K1U9GRIC
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              20 CR 15 (PKC)
                 v.
5
     VIRGIL GRIFFITH,
6
                    Defendant.
 7
          -----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              January 30, 2020
9
                                              2:49 p.m.
10
     Before:
11
                           HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL
12
                                              District Judge
13
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
     GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
          United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
16
     MICHAEL K. KROUSE
17
     KIMBERLY J. RAVENER
          Assistant United States Attorneys
18
     BAKER MARQUART LLP
19
          Attorneys for Defendant
     BRIAN E. KLEIN
20
     KERI C. AXEL
21
     KOBRE & KIM LLP
          Attorney for Defendant
22
     SEAN S. BUCKLEY
23
24
25
```

25

1 (Case called) 2 MR. KROUSE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael Krouse and Kimberly Ravener for the United States. 3 4 THE COURT: Good to see you both. And for the 5 defendant. 6 MR. KLEIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Brian Klein 7 for Virgil Griffith, who is here and out of custody. THE COURT: All right, yes. Good to see you, 8 Mr. Klein and I see -- I know Mr. Buckley, who is with you. 9 10 Good to see you. 11 MR. BUCKLEY: Good to see you, Judge. 12 THE COURT: Different perspective. 13 MR. BUCKLEY: You're a little farther away, your 14 Honor. 15 THE COURT: And you are. 16 MS. AXEL: And I'm Keri Curtis Axel, also with the 17 Baker Marquart firm. 18 THE COURT: Good to see you as well. 19 MS. AXEL: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: So, there is a single-count indictment 21 against the defendant which has been filed under docket 22 20 CR 015. Has the defendant received, read, and reviewed the indictment? 23 24 MR. KLEIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there an application to waive the

24

25

public reading thereof? 1 2 MR. KLEIN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Griffith, how do you plead to the 3 4 single count of the indictment? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Innocent. 6 THE COURT: All right. A plea of not quilty will be 7 entered on the docket of the court. Let me hear from Mr. Krouse as to the volume of 8 discovery and when you anticipate having discovery complete. 9 MR. KROUSE: Yes, your Honor. 10 11 The government has made an initial production of 12 discovery. We made that production about a week ago, 13 January 24. That discovery consisted primarily of reports of 14 the defendant's own statements, various forms of legal process such as search warrants and an extraction of the defendant's 15 16 cellular phone. The government does have another production 17 that we anticipate providing in about two weeks. 18 THE COURT: Where were the statements and interviews 19 made? 20 MR. KROUSE: The statements were to the FBI. They met 21 voluntarily with Mr. Griffith and he made those statements. 22 those are reports memorializing those statements. 23 THE COURT: I cut you off. Please keep going.

The second production would consist of additional

MR. KROUSE: Thank you, your Honor.

search warrants, additional legal process that the government has conducted as well as the fruits of some of those searches. The government would also then, after that second production, there may be additional rolling discovery that would mainly consist of returns from electronic search warrants which we have not yet received the returns on.

THE COURT: When you say electronic search warrants, these were Title IIIs?

MR. KROUSE: No, your Honor. Mainly stored communications search warrants on e-mail addresses and things of that nature.

THE COURT: Seems to me, Mr. Klein, that you're going to need a little bit of time to receive the material, review the material, discuss it with your client and be in a position to return to advise me whether there are any motions the defendant wishes to make in this case; is that correct?

MR. KLEIN: That's correct, your Honor.

There is an area of discovery I wanted to raise.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KLEIN: We are hopeful, we would like the government to produce to us, if they have interviewed other people who attended this conference. So the allegations center around a conference that was held in North Korea. And the other attendees, other than our client, were from foreign countries. We think the government may have interviewed some

of these people or may want to speak to them. And we anticipate potentially doing Rule 15 -- taking Rule 15 testimony abroad in connection with this case. We think those other attendees will help exonerate our client. And so it would be helpful, and we believe necessary, to get FBI 302s or whatever interviews they've done of those people as soon as possible so that we can proceed judiciously.

THE COURT: Maybe like the government's investigative file? Is that what you're talking about? You'd like that maybe?

MR. KLEIN: Well I'm focused on this area of it. Yes, your Honor. Obviously, we'd like the discovery we're entitled to under Rule 16.

THE COURT: That's the question, what you're entitled to under Rule 16 and what you're entitled to under the Jencks Act is also a different issue, what you're entitled to under Brady and Giglio are different issues. But I don't understand the basis for your getting statements by people who the government may or may not elect to call as witnesses unless the statements are exculpatory to your client, in which event the government has an obligation to produce them under Brady.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I understand the issue you're focused on. I agree we should get all the Brady material, of course, right. I'm just saying that we anticipate taking potentially Rule 15 testimony or requesting permission to do

so --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KLEIN: -- with your Honor. And to make that process more on so we don't have unnecessary delays, if we could even get a list of people that they've spoken with, not --

THE COURT: Well your client is alleged to have been at this conference and does he not have access to this material?

MR. KLEIN: He has access to his memory of who was there, your Honor. They've seized all of his items. They are producing that back to us. So we're waiting.

THE COURT: So you'll get that. And you'll get that. And if he had notes on a calendar or in an electronic notebook of who he spoke with, he'll have the benefit of that.

MR. KLEIN: So for example, your Honor, what I was thinking of, let's say they've already spoken to one of these people and we think, again, they would be providing exculpatory information. So maybe that would come in a Brady letter or a Brady disclosure. But I just want to flag this issue for your Honor, it's something that we're focused on, which is trying to identify witnesses, pursue our investigation, pursue our interviews potentially and potentially pursue, make a request for Rule 15 testimony.

THE COURT: Mr. Krouse.

MR. KROUSE: Your Honor, as your Honor pointed out, the government will obviously comply with its obligations to produce Rule 16 discovery, will provide Brady and Giglio to the extent there is any, but there is no basis for the defense to receive what they're asking for.

THE COURT: Mr. Klein, I appreciate your flagging the issue and certainly if there an application under Rule 15 I would expect you and encourage you to make it as early as you possibly can.

MR. KLEIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Would it be convenient,

Mr. Klein, for you to return with your client on March 17 at

3:30 p.m. to advise whether there are any motions you have in
this case?

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, before you came out we discussed that with the prosecutors. That's a date we selected, which happens to be St. Patrick's day.

THE COURT: I'll well aware.

MR. KLEIN: I used to live here and that's a fun day here in New York. And we'll try to wear green ties.

That day seems to work. My phone is checked in downstairs because I no longer have my pass. So, with the caveat that I'll doublecheck that, that date is a date we'd like to be back here, yes, your Honor. We think that gives us sufficient time to get our discovery, review it, and notify you

at that hearing about motions.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Krouse does that work for the government?

MR. KROUSE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I'll set this for March 17, 2020 at 3:30 p.m. and hear Mr. Krouse's motion.

MR. KROUSE: Your Honor, the government moves to exclude time between today and March 17 to allow the government time to produce the remainder of discovery, allow the defense time to review it, and determine whether any motions are appropriate and to allow both sides to discuss possible pretrial resolutions.

THE COURT: Mr. Klein.

MR. KLEIN: That's fine with us and our client, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I find that the ends of justice will be served by granting a continuance to March 17 and that the need for a continuance outweighs the best interests of the defendant and the public. The reasons for my finding are that the time is needed to enable the government to assemble the discovery, produce it to the defense, defense counsel to review it, to discuss it with their client and be in a position to return to advise if they have any motions they wish to make and, accordingly, the time between today and March 17 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

```
K1U9GRIC
               Anything further from the government?
1
 2
               MR. KROUSE: Nothing further, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: From the defendant?
 4
               MR. KLEIN: No, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much.
 6
               (Adjourned)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```